THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Civil Writ Petition No. 1450 (M/S) of 2006

Smt. Kiran Tuteja S/O Shri Tejbhan, W/O Shri Suresh Kumar Tuteja, R/O House No.42, Mohalla Sati Roorkee, District Haridwar.

….. Petitioner.

Vs

1. State of Uttaranchal through its Secretary Primary Education, Dehradun.
2. Additional Director, S.C.E.R.T Uttaranchal, Narendra Nagar, Tehri Garhwal.
3. Principal, District Education & Training Institute Roorkee, District Haridwar.

…….Respondents

Sri Tapan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Sri Nand Prasad, standing counsel for the State-respondents.

Dated September 26, 2006
PC:(Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.)

(Interim Relief Application No.12179 of 2006)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the record.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding/directing the respondents to consider the B.Ed Distance Course equivalent to the B.Ed. Regular Course and to consider the candidature of the petitioner for admission to Special BTC Course for the year 2006-2007 following the advertisement dated 9-7-2006. The petitioner has also challenged the Government Order dated 1-8-2006 issued regarding training/selection process of Special BTC Training 2006.

By the Government Order dated 1-8-2006 clarification has been issued by the Government of Uttaranchal regarding the queries made by the Director of Education vide letter No. 22501/2006-2007 dated 29-7-2006. By this G.O. provision of relaxation in age was clarified for the applications who had applied

for Special B.T.C. Training in year 2001 and who attained age above 40 years on 1.7.2004.

Admittedly, the petitioner herein had not applied for the said course during the year 2001 for the Special B.T.C. Training course, therefore, no benefit of the said G.O. dated 19-3-2005 could be extended to her. The learned counsel for the petitioner could not show that petitioner had in fact applied in the year 2001 despite sufficient opportunity given to him.

In any view of the matter, the writ petition filed by the petitioner is devoid of merit and no interim relief can be granted to the petitioner. Accordingly the writ petition is dismissed in-limine.

(B.S. Verma, J.)

RCP